

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/081,674 | RUIZ ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Jeffrey L. Gellner | 3643 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: non-final

 (1) Jeffrey L. Gellner.

(3) _____.

 (2) Stephen D. Geimer.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 September 2005
Time: afternoon
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

rejections of claims 12-15 on grounds of 35 USC 112 2nd para.

Claims discussed:

claims 12-15


Prior art documents discussed:

none
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed possibility of allowing the application to pass to issue with the cancellation of claims 12-15 and an amendment to the pendency of claim 8. No agreement was reached. The discussion was similar to the arguments presented in the instant office action.